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## Summary

The metal carbonyl anion $\operatorname{Re}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}{ }^{-}$adds to the cycloheptatriene ligand in $\left[\left(\eta-\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right) \mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}$ to give the title cycloheptadienyl complex, whose X-ray-determined structure shows the $\operatorname{Re}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}$ moiety to be in an exo position. Although previous attempts to add metal carbonyl anions to cyclic $\pi$-hydrocarbons were unsuccessful, the present report clearly demonstrates that such reactions are possible.

A variety of nucleophiles are known [1] to add to coordinated $\pi$-hydrocarbon ligands; however, analogous reactions of transition metal carbonyl anion nucleophiles have received little attention. Additions to ethylene were achieved in the reactions of $\mathrm{CpM}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\left(\eta^{2}-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)^{+}(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Mo}, \mathrm{W})$ with $\mathrm{CpM}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}{ }^{-}(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Mo}$, W) and $\operatorname{Re}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}^{-}[2], \mathrm{CpW}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\left(\eta^{2}-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)^{+}$with $\mathrm{CpW}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)^{-}$[2], and $\mathbf{M}^{\prime}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}\left(\eta^{2}-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)^{+}$with $\mathbf{M}^{\prime}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}{ }^{-}\left(\mathbf{M}^{\prime}=\mathbf{M n}\right.$, Re) [3]. Attempts to add metal carbonyl anions to $\pi$-tropylium and $\pi$-benzene complexes have been unsuccessful [3], resulting in reductive coupling of $\left(\eta^{7}-\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{7}\right) \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}{ }^{+}$through the tropylium ligand ( $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Cr}$, $\mathrm{Mo}, \mathrm{W}$ ) or in complex salt formation with ( $\eta^{7}-$ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{7}\right) \mathrm{Mn}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}\right)^{+},\left(\eta^{6}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right) \mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}{ }^{+}$, and $\left(\eta^{6}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right) \mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\mathrm{PBu}_{3}\right)^{+}$. Extending our interest [4] in nucleophilic additions to $\pi$-hydrocarbon complexes, we now report the reaction (eq. 1) of $\operatorname{Re}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}{ }^{-}$with ( $\eta^{6}$-cycloheptatriene) $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}{ }^{+}$to form $\left[1-5-\eta-6-\right.$ exo $\left.-\mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{CO})_{5} \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right] \mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$ (1), the first example of a complex resulting from nucleophilic addition of a metal carbonyl anion to a coordinated cyclic $\pi$-hydrocarbon.


Addition of a slight excess of $\mathrm{NaRe}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}$ in THF solution to a stirred suspension of $\left[\left(\eta^{6}-\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right) \mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)$ [5] ( $102 \mathrm{mg}, 0.321 \mathrm{mmole}$ ) in THF at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 $\min$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, resulted in a clear orange solution whose IR spectrum in the $\nu(\mathrm{CO})$ region showed 1 as the major product. Evaporation of the solvent gave an orange residue which was chromatographed on silica gel; a broad yellow product band was eluted with hexane. The yellow solution was concentrated, and successive crystallizations from hexane at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ yielded pale yellow crystals ( $24.1 \mathrm{mg}, 13.5 \%$ ) of $\left[1-5-\eta-6\right.$-exo- $\left.\mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{CO})_{5} \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right] \mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$, 1. An additional 23.0 mg ( $12.8 \%$ ) of the product was isolated by evaporation of the mother liquor as a slightly impure powder. The relatively low yicld appears to be due to losses during purification; no attempt was made to optimize the yield. The product was characterized by elemental analysis and its IR, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and mass spectra [6]; all data were consistent with the formulation of the compound as $\left[\eta-\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8} \cdot \operatorname{Re}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}\right] \mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$. A single crystal X-ray diffraction study [7] of 1 has confirmed the identity of the product and also clearly established the exo-orientation of the $\mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}$ fragment at $\mathrm{C}(6)$ (Fig. 1). The $\operatorname{Re}-C(6)$ bond distance is $2.335(9) \AA$, which is slightly longer than rhenium-methylene carbon bond lengths in $\left(\eta-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{CO})_{2} \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)(2.29(1)$ $\AA$ ) [8], $(\mathrm{CO})_{5} \operatorname{ReCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \operatorname{Re}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}(2.304(8) \AA)$ [3], and $(-)-(R)-\left(\eta-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \operatorname{Re}(\mathrm{NO})-$ $\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)(2.203(8) \AA)[9]$, but is in the range of $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{C}\left(\eta^{1}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$ bond lengths in $\left(\eta^{1}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \operatorname{Re}(\mathrm{Me})(\mathrm{CO})(\mathrm{NO})\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)_{2} \quad(2.32(1) \AA) \quad[10]$ and $\left(\eta^{1}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$ $\mathrm{Rc}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)_{2}(2.360(10) \AA)[11]$.

The Mn is bonded to the $\eta$-cycloheptadienyl ligand through the five unsaturated carbons with $\mathrm{Mn}-\mathrm{C}$ distances of $2.218(10)(\mathrm{C}(1)), 2.090(11)(\mathrm{C}(2)), 2.145(13)(\mathrm{C}(3)$ ), $2.132(11)(\mathrm{C}(4))$, and $2.285(9) \AA(\mathrm{C}(5))$. Carbon-carbon distances in the ring are $1.425(20)(\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)), 1.413(20)(\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)), 1.437(20)(\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)), 1.372(14)$ $(\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)), 1.474(12)(\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)), 1.549(12)(\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7))$, and $1.530(14) \AA$ $(C(1)-C(7))$. The $C(5)-C(6)$ distance of $1.474(12) \AA$ is somewhat shorter than $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)(1.530(14) \AA)$, a typical $\mathrm{C}\left(s p^{2}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(s p^{3}\right)$ bond distance. The $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ length is, however, similar to those found for $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds adjacent to the $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds in $\eta^{1}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ compounds, $\left(\eta^{1}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{Me})(\mathrm{CO})(\mathrm{NO})\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)_{2}(1.48$ and 1.44 $\AA$ ) [10] and $\left(\eta^{1}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \operatorname{Re}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)_{2}(1.475$ and $1.448 \AA)$ [11]. The $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ angles at each of the ring carbon atoms are $120.6(11)(\mathrm{C}(1)), 123.5(10)(\mathrm{C}(2))$, $120.2(11)(\mathrm{C}(3)), 128.7(10)(\mathrm{C}(4)), 132.5(8)(\mathrm{C}(5)), 116.7(7)(\mathrm{C}(6))$, and $112.7(8)^{\circ}$ (C(7)).

The $C(5)-C(6)-C(7)-C(1)$ linkage is twisted as depicted in Fig. 2. The planes defined by $\mathrm{C}(5), \mathrm{C}(6), \mathrm{C}(1)$ and $\mathrm{C}(5), \mathrm{C}(7), \mathrm{C}(1)$ are bent $37^{\circ}$ and $54^{\circ}$, respectively,


Fig. 1. ORTEP drawing of $\left[1-5-\eta-6\right.$-exo- $\left.\operatorname{Re}(\mathrm{CO})_{5} \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right] \mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}, 1$; hydrogen atoms omitted.
away from the plane of the pentadienyl carbons, resulting in a twist angle of $17^{\circ}$ for the saturated carbon bridge. This distortion is not observed for the related $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ adduct, $\left[\left(\eta^{5}-6\right.\right.$-exo $\left.\left.-\mathrm{PPh}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right) \mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)$ [12], which has an essentially planar set of carbon atoms corresponding to $C(5), C(6), C(7)$, and $C(1)$ in 1.

The Mn-C distances to the CO carbons, $1.837(10)(\mathrm{C}(13)), 1.792(10)(\mathrm{C}(14))$, and $1.796(12) \AA(C(15))$, are within the normal range [13]. The $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{C}$ carbonyl distances range from 1.97 to $2.01 \AA$ (average of $1.99 \AA$ ), again similar to distances observed in other rhenium carbonyl compounds [3,14].

Since 1 involves Mn bonded to five cycloheptadienyl carbon atoms and Re to only one, it was of interest to explore the possibility that 1 could be converted to a


Fig. 2. ORTEP drawing of 1 illustrating the ligand geometry. Hydrogen atoms and carbonyls have been omitted for clarity.
complex in which both Mn and Re were bonded to 3 carbon atoms ( $\eta^{3}$ ) while shifting a CO ligand from Re to Mn. In attempts to induce these changes, a hexane solution of 1 was heated at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h while CO was bubbled through the reaction flask. However, no reaction was observed, even after heating at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for an additional 30 min . Photolysis of 1 in hexane in the presence of CO for 3 h resulted only in decomposition of the starting material.

In summary, the occurrence of reaction 1 demonstrates that despite earlier unsuccessful attempts, metal carbonyl anion additions to cyclic $\pi$-hydrocarbons are possible, and other reactions of this type may be anticipated in the future.

Supplementary material (selected bond distances and angles, atomic coordinates, temperature factors, and structure factors for 1) are available from the authors.
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